

# Warfarin Management – Adult – Inpatient Clinical Practice Guideline

Note: Active Table of Contents - Click each header below to jump to the section of interest

# **Table of Contents**

INTRODUCTION	3
SCOPE	3
DEFINITIONS	3
RECOMMENDATIONS	4
METHODOLOGY	
COLLATERAL TOOLS & RESOURCES (AS APPROPRIATE)	
APPENDIX A	. ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.
REFERENCES	. ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.

#### Content Expert(s):

Name: Anne Rose, PharmD - Pharmacy Phone Number: (608) 263-9738 Email Address: arose@uwhealth.org

#### **Contact for Changes:**

Name: Philip Trapskin, PharmD, BCPS – Drug Policy Phone Number: (608) 265-0341 Email Address: ptrapskin@uwhealth.org

**Guideline Author(s):** Anne Rose, PharmD – Anticoagulation Stewardship

#### Workgroup Members:

Jennifer Lai, PharmD, BCPS – Pharmacy Cameron Patterson, PharmD, BCPS – Pharmacy Emily Zimmerman, PharmD, BCPS – Pharmacy

Reviewer(s): (As Appropriate) David Yang, MD – Lab

#### **Committee Approval(s):** (Include the appropriate final approval body)

Inpatient Anticoagulation Committee: November 2012; September 2015; December 2019 Pharmacy & Therapeutics Committee February 2013; April 2020

# **Introduction**

Warfarin is a medication with a narrow therapeutic index that relies on a targeted range for efficacy and reduction of complications.<sup>1</sup> With this narrow therapeutic range, warfarin is associated with bleeding complications, longer lengths of stays, adverse drug reactions, and higher hospitalization costs.<sup>1,2</sup> It is recommended to use standardized and validated dosing and monitoring tools for most patients on warfarin therapy.<sup>3</sup>

Warfarin inhibits the reduction of vitamin K epoxide which limits the activation of vitamin K dependent clotting factors II, VII, IX and X. Warfarin is highly protein bound with a half-life of 36-42 hours. It is metabolized by the cytochrome P450 enzymes: 2C9, 1A2, and 3A4.<sup>1</sup>

This guideline provides recommendations that are based on the evidence outlined from the Antithrombotic Therapy and Prevention of Thrombosis 9<sup>th</sup> edition: American College of Chest Physicians Clinical Practice Guidelines (CHEST) and from more recent individual articles focusing on inpatient warfarin management.<sup>1,3,4-7</sup>

# <u>Scope</u>

#### Intended User(s):

Physicians, Advanced Practice Providers, Pharmacists, Nurses

#### Objective(s):

To provide a strategy for the management of warfarin therapy in adult hospitalized patients using a standardized process while offering an individualized assessment.

#### **Target Population:**

Adult inpatients either being initiated on warfarin or continued on home warfarin therapy during hospitalization.

#### **Clinical Questions Considered:**

- How should warfarin therapy be initiated in patients with a new indication for anticoagulation?
- How should warfarin therapy be monitored and adjusted for patients previously on warfarin but with a new or worsening disease progression that could affect anticoagulation?

# **Definitions**

- 1. Baseline INR: (for patients not previously on warfarin)
  - For scheduled surgical patients, the INR must be resulted within the electronic medical record within the past 30 days
  - For all other patients the INR must be within 72 hours of warfarin order and prior to verification of the warfarin dose.
- 2. Current INR: (for patients previously on warfarin)
  - An INR reported on the same calendar date as the scheduled warfarin dose

# **Recommendations**

- INR goals and duration of therapy are listed in Table 1<sup>1,3,4-9</sup>
   1.1. Alternative INR goals may be chosen for specific patients when bleeding risk outweighs clotting risk and will be determined by the individual's provider (UW Health GRADE very low-quality evidence, C recommendation)

Table 1	<ol> <li>Indications for</li> </ol>	warfarin, INF	Ranges,	and Duration	of Therapy <sup>1,3,4-9</sup>

· · · · ·			-
Indication	INR	Duration	Comments
	(Range)		
Thrombophilia with Thromboem	olic Event⁴		
Antiphospholipid Syndrome	2.5 (2-3)	Chronic	
Homozygous Factor V Leiden	2.5 (2-3)	Chronic	
Deficiency of Protein C, S or Anti-	2.5 (2-3)	Chronic	
Thrombin			
Atrial Fibrillation (AF)/ Atrial Flut	ter <sup>5</sup>		
$CHA_2DS_2VASc = 0$ ; Low stroke	None		More information can be found:
risk			Atrial Fibrillation Guideline
$CHA_2DS_2$ VASc $\geq$ 1 for men or $\geq$	2.5 (2-3)	Chronic	
2 for women; Intermediate/High	· · ·		More information can be found:
stroke risk			Atrial Fibrillation Guideline
Pre-cardioversion (AF or flutter	2.5 (2-3)	3 weeks	INR must be within target range for
>48 hours)	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		3 consecutive weeks prior to
,			cardioversion
Post-cardioversion (in NSR)	2.5 (2-3)	4 weeks	
Ischemic Stroke <sup>6</sup>	, í		
Cardioembolic stroke or TIA			
-With cerebral venous sinus	2.5 (2-3)	3-6 months	
thrombosis	· · ·		
Thromboembolism (DVT, PE) sy	mptomatic or	asymptomatic	7
Provoked VTE event	2.5 (2-3)	3 months	
Unprovoked: 1 <sup>st</sup> VTE event		I.	
- Proximal or Distal DVT	2.5 (2-3)	3 months	After 3 months evaluate risk-
- PE	- ( - /		benefit for extended therapy
			If low-moderate bleeding risk than
			consider extended therapy. If high
			bleeding risk than consider 3
			months
Upprovokad: 2nd V/TE ovent			
- DVT or PE (with or without	25(2-3)	3 months	
- DVT or PE (with or without	2.5 (2-3)	3 months	After 3 months evaluate risk-benefit
- DVT or PE (with or without malignancy)	2.5 (2-3)	3 months	After 3 months evaluate risk-benefit
- DVT or PE (with or without malignancy)	2.5 (2-3)	3 months	After 3 months evaluate risk-benefit for extended therapy
- DVT or PE (with or without malignancy)	2.5 (2-3)	3 months	After 3 months evaluate risk-benefit for extended therapy If low-moderate bleeding risk than consider extended therapy. If high
- DVT or PE (with or without malignancy)	2.5 (2-3)	3 months	After 3 months evaluate risk-benefit for extended therapy If low-moderate bleeding risk than consider extended therapy. If high bleeding risk than consider 3
- DVT or PE (with or without malignancy)	2.5 (2-3)	3 months	After 3 months evaluate risk-benefit for extended therapy If low-moderate bleeding risk than consider extended therapy. If high bleeding risk than consider 3 months
- DVT or PE (with or without malignancy)	2.5 (2-3)	3 months	After 3 months evaluate risk-benefit for extended therapy If low-moderate bleeding risk than consider extended therapy. If high bleeding risk than consider 3 months
- DVT or PE (with or without malignancy)	2.5 (2-3) None	3 months 45 days	After 3 months evaluate risk-benefit for extended therapy If low-moderate bleeding risk than consider extended therapy. If high bleeding risk than consider 3 months Prophylaxis LMWH or Fondaparinux
- DVT or PE (with or without malignancy) Spontaneous superficial vein thrombosis Valve Replacement – Bioprosthe	2.5 (2-3) None	3 months 45 days	After 3 months evaluate risk-benefit for extended therapy If low-moderate bleeding risk than consider extended therapy. If high bleeding risk than consider 3 months Prophylaxis LMWH or Fondaparinux
- DVT or PE (with or without malignancy)     Spontaneous superficial vein thrombosis     Valve Replacement – Bioprosthe Aortic or TAV/R*	2.5 (2-3) None	3 months 45 days	After 3 months evaluate risk-benefit for extended therapy If low-moderate bleeding risk than consider extended therapy. If high bleeding risk than consider 3 months Prophylaxis LMWH or Fondaparinux
- DVT or PE (with or without malignancy)     Spontaneous superficial vein thrombosis     Valve Replacement – Bioprosthe Aortic or TAVR*     Mitral	2.5 (2-3) None tic 2 5 (2-3)	3 months 45 days	After 3 months evaluate risk-benefit for extended therapy If low-moderate bleeding risk than consider extended therapy. If high bleeding risk than consider 3 months Prophylaxis LMWH or Fondaparinux Antiplatelet therapy Followed by aspirin 81 mg daily
- DVT or PE (with or without malignancy)     Spontaneous superficial vein thrombosis     Valve Replacement – Bioprosthe Aortic or TAVR*     Mitral     * If other indication for anticoagulati	2.5 (2-3) None tic 2.5 (2-3) on exist – see	3 months 45 days 3 months	After 3 months evaluate risk-benefit for extended therapy If low-moderate bleeding risk than consider extended therapy. If high bleeding risk than consider 3 months Prophylaxis LMWH or Fondaparinux Antiplatelet therapy Followed by aspirin 81 mg daily on for therapy recommendations

Valve Replacement – Mechanical			
Aortic	2.5 (2-3)	Chronic	Low bleed risk: add aspirin 81 mg
Mitral	3 (2.5-3.5)	Chronic	Low bleed risk: add aspirin 81 mg
Dual Aortic and Mitral Valve	3 (2.5 -3.5)	Chronic	Low bleed risk: add aspirin 81 mg
Valve Replacement – Newer Gene	eration Mecha	nical	
On-X Aortic	2.5 (2-3)	3 months	After 3 months decrease the INR
			goal to 1.5-2.0
On-X Mitral	3 (2.5-3.5)	Chronic	Lower INR goals have not been
			studied in the mitral position
Orthopedic Surgery <sup>9</sup>			
Total Knee or Hip Arthroplasty*	1.8-2.2	10-14 days	INR goal per UWHC Orthopedics
Hip Fracture Surgery*	1.8-2.2	10-14 days	INR goal per UWHC Orthopedics
Trauma Surgery*	1.8-2.2	35 days	INR goal per UWHC Orthopedics
* If other indication for anticoadu	lation exist - II	NR goal shoul	ld be clarified

AF- atrial fibrillation; CAD – coronary artery disease; CI- contraindications; DVT- deep vein thrombosis; LMWH- low molecular weight heparin; NSR- normal sinus rhythm; PE- pulmonary embolism; TIA- transient ischemic attack; TAVI - transcatheter aortic valve replacement; VTE – venous thromboembolism

#### Patient Assessment

- 2. Patients newly started on warfarin should be assessed for risk factors that may make them more sensitive to the effects of warfarin. If multiple high sensitivity risk factors are present then a lower initiation dose or reduced maintenance dose may be needed.<sup>1,3</sup> (UW Health GRADE high quality evidence, S recommendation)
  - 2.1. Table 2 identifies risk factors that may increase either INR response or bleeding risks.  $_{1,3,10}$

Increased Warfarin Sensitivity			
Increased INR Response	Increased Bleeding Risk		
Baseline INR ≥ 1.5	Current antiplatelet therapy		
Age > 65	Thrombocytopenia: platelet <75 K/uL		
Actual body weight < 45 kg or actual <	Significant hepatic disease:		
ideal	cirrhosis or total bilirubin.>2.4 mg/dL		
Malnourished/ NPO >3 days	Alcohol abuse history		
Hypoalbuminemia <2 g/dL	End stage renal disease		
Chronic diarrhea	GI bleed within past 30 days		
Significant drug interactions	Surgery within past 2 weeks		
Decompensated heart failure	Intracranial bleed within past 30 days		

#### Table 2. Factors for Identifying Warfarin Sensitive Patients<sup>1,3,10</sup>

#### Warfarin Dosing Considerations

- 3. Initial warfarin dosing should be tailored based on baseline INR, patient bleed risk, potential sensitivity to warfarin, indication, goal INR range and if potential drug interactions are present<sup>1</sup> (*UW Health GRADE high quality evidence, S recommendation*)
- 4. A dose larger than the anticipated maintenance dose (loading dose) should be avoided in most patients<sup>3</sup> (UW Health GRADE low quality evidence, C recommendation)

- 4.1 In healthy patients with acute VTE warfarin 10 mg for the first 2 days may be considered followed by dosing based on INR measurements<sup>3,11,12</sup> (UW Health GRADE moderate quality evidence, C recommendation)
- 5. For patients on warfarin prior to admission without changes to medications or medical condition that would affect the INR, may resume their home dose *(UW Health GRADE low quality evidence, C recommendation)*
- 6. Warfarin dosing should be based on current INR results and the dose should not be administered until an INR has been resulted within the medical record. *(UW Health GRADE low quality evidence, C recommendation)*
- 7. Prior to making a dose adjustment, assess for any missed doses, drug interactions, dietary intake or supplements, documentation of bleeding, or other changes that may affect INR<sup>1,3</sup> (*UW Health GRADE moderate quality evidence, S recommendation*)
  - 7.1 Tables 3 and 4 provide recommendations for warfarin dosing in the first 5 days of therapy for INR goals of 2-3 or 2.5-3.5.
- 8. If appropriate, patients should receive another form of anticoagulation such as LMWH for at least 5 days and until they are therapeutic on warfarin for 24-48 hours<sup>1,6</sup> (UW Health GRADE high quality evidence, S recommendation)

	High Sensitivity to Warfarin		Low Sensitivity to Warfarin	
	INR Value Dose		INR Value	Dose
Day 1	<1.5	2.5 - 5 mg	<1.5	5 - 7.5 mg
Day 2	<1.5	2.5 - 5 mg	<1.5	5 - 7.5 mg
	≥1.5	0 - 2.5 mg	≥1.5	0 - 5 mg
Day 3	<1.5	5 mg	<1.5	7.5 mg
	1.5-1.9	2.5 mg	1.5-1.9	5 mg
	2-2.5	1 mg	2-2.5	2.5 mg
	≥2.6	0 (no dose)	≥2.6	0 (no dose)
Day 4	<1.5	7.5 mg	<1.5	10 mg
	1.5-1.9	5 mg	1.5-1.9	7.5 mg
	2-3	2.5 mg	2-3	5 mg
	> 3	0 - 1 mg	>3	0-2.5 mg
Day 5	<1.5	10 mg	<1.5	12.5 mg
	1.5-1.9	yesterday's dose + 1 mg	1.5-1.9	yesterday's dose + 2.5 mg
	2-3	yesterday's dose	2-3	yesterday's dose
	3-3.5	yesterday's dose – 1 mg	3-3.5	yesterday's dose – 2.5 mg
	>3.5	0 (no dose)	>3.5	0 (no dose)

Table 4. Warfarin Dosing Protocol with INR Goal 2-3

If at any time INR increases > 0.5 consider reducing dose or if > 1 point consider holding dose If holding for a high INR, restart warfarin at a reduced dose when INR is trending downward

	High Sensit	High Sensitivity to Warfarin		vity to Warfarin
	INR Value	Dose	INR Value	Dose
Day 1	< 1.5	2.5 - 5 mg	< 1.5	5 - 7.5 mg
Day 2	< 1.5	2.5 - 5 mg	< 1.5	5 - 7.5 mg
	≥ 1.5	0 - 2.5 mg	≥ 1.5	0 - 5 mg
Day 3	< 1.5	5 - 7.5 mg	< 1.5	7.5 - 10 mg
	1.5-1.9	5 mg	1.5-1.9	7.5 mg
	2.0-2.5	2.5 mg	2.0-2.5	5 mg

Table 5. Warfarin Dosing Protocol with INR Goal 2.5-3.5

	≥ 2.5	0 ( no dose)	≥ 2.5	0 (no dose)
Day 4	< 1.9	7.5 mg	< 1.9	10 mg
	2.0-2.4	5 mg	2.0-2.4	7.5 mg
	2.5-3.5	2.5 mg	2.5-3.5	5 mg
	≥ 3.6	0 - 1 mg	≥ 3.6	0-2.5 mg
Day 5	< 1.9	10 mg	< 1.9	12.5 mg
	2.0-2.4	yesterday's dose + 2.5 mg	2.0-2.4	yesterday's dose + 2.5 mg
	2.5-3.5	yesterday's dose	2.5-3.5	yesterday's dose
	3.6-4.0	yesterday's dose – 2.5 mg	3.6-4.0	yesterday's dose – 2.5 mg
	≥ 4.0	0 (no dose)	≥ 4.0	0 (no dose)

If at any time INR increases > 0.5 consider reducing dose or if > 1 point consider holding dose If holding for a high INR, restart warfarin at a reduced dose when INR is trending downward

#### Laboratory Monitoring

#### 9. INR

- 9.1 A baseline INR must be resulted prior to verification of the first dose of warfarin (UW Health GRADE high quality evidence, S recommendation)
- 9.2 A current INR must be resulted prior to verification of a warfarin dose adjustment (UW Health GRADE low quality evidence, C recommendation)
- 12.3 Obtain a daily INR for patients without a stable warfarin dose (UW Health GRADE low quality evidence, C recommendation)
- 12.4 Obtain a weekly INR (at minimum) for patients with a stable maintenance dose with no changes in medications or medical condition that could affect the INR. (UW Health GRADE low quality evidence, C recommendation)
- 12.5 Upon discharge from the hospital an INR should be obtained within 3-4 days for patients newly started on warfarin or at the next scheduled INR visit if there are no changes in medications or medical conditions that would affect the INR *(UW Health GRADE low quality evidence, C recommendation)*
- 10. CBC should be obtained prior to initiating warfarin (baseline) and a minimum of every 3 days thereafter (UW Health GRADE low quality evidence, C recommendation)
- 11. Urine HCG (pregnancy test) should be obtained for women of child bearing age before initiating warfarin.<sup>1,3</sup> (UW Health GRADE moderate quality evidence, C recommendation)

#### Drug Interactions

Most drug interactions with warfarin will start to have an effect within 3-5 days of concomitant therapy. There are some notable exceptions which include amiodarone, carbamazepine, and rifampin which have a delayed effect after 7-14 days of dual therapy.<sup>1,3,13,14</sup> Tables 6 and 7 outlines potential drug-drug, drug-food, and drug-herb interactions. Bolded medications are considered significant interactions. This table is **not** all inclusive.

15. For most drug interactions with warfarin it is recommended to either increase or decrease (based on expected INR response) the weekly dose by 30% (UW Health GRADE moderate quality evidence, C recommendation)

- 15.1 For amiodarone target a 50% *reduction* in weekly maintenance dose for warfarin after 7-14 days of dual therapy<sup>13</sup> or if initiating warfarin start at 2.5 mg dose. *(UW Health GRADE moderate quality evidence, S recommendation)*
- 15.2 For rifampin target a 50% increase in weekly maintenance dose for warfarin after 7-14 days of dual therapy.<sup>13</sup> (UW Health GRADE moderate quality evidence, S recommendation)

Drug Class	Known Interaction	Probable Interaction	Possible	Unlikely
			Interaction	Interaction
Anti-Infective	Ciprofloxacin	Amoxicillin/clavulanate	Amoxicillin	Cefotetan
	Erythromycin	Azithromycin	Chloramphenicol	Cefazolin
	Fluconazole	Clarithromycin	Darunavir	Tigecycline
	Isoniazid	Itraconazole	Daptomycin	
	Metronidazole	Ketoconazole	Etravirine	
	Miconazole	Levofloxacin	Ivermectin	
	Miconazole Vaginal	Ritonavir	Nitrofurantoin	
	Suppository	Tetracycline	Norfloxacin	
	Moxifloxacin		Ofloxacin	
	Sulfamethoxazole		Saquinavir	
	Voriconazole		Telithromycin	
			Terbinafine	
Cardiovascular	Amiodarone*	Aspirin	Disopyramide	
	Clofibrate	Fluvastatin	Gemfibrozil	
	Diltiazem	Quinidine	Metolazone	
	Fenofibrate	Ropinirole		
	Propafenone	Simvastatin		
	Propranolol			
Analgesics,	Piroxicam	Acetaminophen	Indomethacin	Methylprednisolo
Anti-		Aspririn	Propoxyphene	ne
Inflammatory		Celecoxib	Sulindac	Nabumetone
		Tramadol	Tolmentin	
			Topical Salicylates	
CNS Drugs	Alcohol	Disulfiram	Felbamate	Diazepam
	Citalopram	Chloral hydrate		Fluoxetine
	Entacapone	Fluvoxamine		Quetiapine
	Sertraline	Phenytoin		
GI Drugs and	Cimetidine	Grapefruit	Orlistat	
Food	Mango			
	Omeprazole			
Herbal	Fenugreek	Dandelion	Capsicum	
Supplement	Feverfew	Danshen	Forskolin	
	Fish Oil	Don Quai	Garlic	
	Ginkgo	Lycium	Ginger	
	Quilinggao	PC-SPES	Turmeric	
		Red or Sweet Clover		
Other	Anabolic Steroids	Fluorouracil	Acarbose	Etoposide
	Capecitabine	Gemcitabine	Cyclophosphamide	Carboplatin
	Zileuton	Levamisole	Danazol	Levonorgestrel
		Paclitaxel	Iphosphamide	
		Tamoxifen	Trastuzumab	
		Tolterodine		

	Table 6. Medications, dieta	y supplements and food that <b>INCREASE</b> INR	or bleeding risk. <sup>1,3,13,14</sup>
--	-----------------------------	---	--

	Medications, dietar			IX.
Drug Class	Known	Probable Interaction	Possible	Unlikely
	Interaction		Interaction	Interaction
Anti-Infective	Griseofulvin	Dicloxacillin	Terbinafine	Cloxacillin
	Nafcillin	Ritonovir	Nelfinavir	Rifaximin
	Ribavirin	Rifapentine	Nevirapine	Teicoplanin
	Rifampin*			
Cardiovascular	Cholestyramine	Bosentan	Telmisartan	Furosemide
Analgesics, Anti-	Mesalamine	Azathioprine	Sulfasalazine	
Inflammatory				
CNS Drugs	Barbiturates	Chlordiazepoxide		Propofol
	Carbamazepine			
GI Drugs and	High content	Soy milk	Sushi containing	
Food	vitamin K food	Sucralfate	seaweed	
	Avocado			
Herbal	Alfalfa	Ginseng	Co-Enzyme Q10	Green Tea
Supplement		Multivitamin	Yarrow	
		St. John's Wort	Licorice	
		Parsley		
		Chewing Tobacco		
Other	Mercaptopurine	Chelation Therapy	Cyclosporine	
	Chewing Tobacco	Influenza vaccine	Etretinate	
		Raloxifene	Ubidecarenone	

#### Table 7. Medications, dietary supplements and food that **DECREASE** INR.<sup>1,3,13,14</sup>

#### **Dietary Interactions**

Patients on long term warfarin therapy can be sensitive to the fluctuating levels of vitamin K from both external dietary sources and internal gastrointestinal sources. Increased dietary intake of vitamin K from either food sources or nutritional supplement sources can reduce the effectiveness of warfarin and decrease the INR. Since warfarin is a high protein bound drug with up to 99% of the drug bound to plasma proteins, patients who are malnourished with low albumin levels will have higher concentrations of unbound drug and may experience faster INR response. Conversely, patients receiving enteral nutrition will have more bound drug due to the high protein concentration in these products.<sup>1,13,15-17</sup>

- 16. Promote consistent intake of dietary vitamin K and not avoidance<sup>1</sup> (UW Health GRADE high quality evidence, S recommendation)
- 17. For enteral nutrition hold the tube feed 1 hour before and 1 hour after warfarin administration<sup>15,17</sup> (UW Health GRADE moderate quality evidence, S recommendation)
  - 17.1 If unable to hold enteral nutrition, increase warfarin dose until a therapeutic INR is achieved<sup>17</sup>(UW Health GRADE low quality evidence, C recommendation)
  - 17.2 If on cycled tube feeding, administer warfarin at a time when tube feeds are off<sup>17,18</sup> (UW Health GRADE moderate quality evidence, S recommendation)

#### Warfarin Reversal

The treatment for warfarin reversal should be based on the indication for use, location of bleed, severity of bleed and the extent of INR elevation. Guidelines for reversal of warfarin are available within the UW Health Adult Procoagulant Therapy for Treatment of Non-Hemophiliac Bleeding Clinical Practice Guideline.<sup>1,3</sup>

http://www.uwhealth.org/files/uwhealth/docs/anticoagulation/Procoagulant\_Guideline.pdf

#### Transitioning to Outpatient Management

- 18. Communication describing either warfarin initiation and/or management during the inpatient stay, along with the expected next INR check, should be communicated to the next provider of care. (UW Health GRADE very low quality evidence, S recommendation)
  - 18.1 Communication may occur electronically for patients who are managed in a UW Health clinic or by phone/fax for a patient who is managed in a non-UW Health clinic.

#### Disclaimer

Clinical practice guidelines assist clinicians by providing a framework for the evaluation and treatment of patients. This guideline outlines the preferred approach for most patients. It is not intended to replace a clinician's judgment or to establish a protocol for all patients. It is understood that some patients will not fit the clinical condition contemplated by a guideline and that a guideline will rarely establish the only appropriate approach to a problem.

#### Methodology

#### **Development Process**

Each guideline is reviewed and updated a minimum of every 3 years. All guidelines are developed using the guiding principles, standard processes, and styling outlined in the UW Health Clinical Practice Guideline Resource Guide. This includes expectations for workgroup composition and recruitment strategies, disclosure and management of conflict of interest for participating workgroup members, literature review techniques, evidence grading resources, required approval bodies, and suggestions for communication and implementation.

#### Methods Used to Collect the Evidence:

The following criteria were used by the guideline author(s) and workgroup members to conduct electronic database searches in the collection of evidence for review.

Literature Sources:

- Electronic database search (e.g., PubMed)
- Databases of systematic reviews (e.g., Cochrane Library)
- Hand-searching journals, external guidelines, and conference publications

Time Period: 1990-2019

Search Terms:

- Inpatient warfarin
- Warfarin protocol
- Warfarin management

#### Methods to Select the Evidence:

Describe the inclusion/exclusion criteria used for selecting the literature; consider describing chosen variables such as language, study design, outcomes, and comparisons as appropriate.

#### Methods Used to Formulate the Recommendations:

The workgroup members agreed to adopt recommendations developed by external organizations and/or created recommendations internally via a consensus process using

discussion of the literature and expert experience/opinion. If issues or controversies arose where consensus could not be reached, the topic was escalated appropriately per the guiding principles outlined in the UW Health Clinical Practice Guideline Resource Guide.

#### **Methods Used to Assess the Quality of the Evidence/Strength of the Recommendations:** Recommendations developed by external organizations maintained the evidence grade assigned within the original source document and were adopted for use at UW Health.

Internally developed recommendations, or those adopted from external sources without an assigned evidence grade, were evaluated by the guideline workgroup using an algorithm adapted from the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE) methodology (see **Figure 1**).





# Rating Scheme for the Strength of the Evidence/Recommendations:

#### **GRADE** Ranking of Evidence

High	We are confident that the effect in the study reflects the actual effect.
Moderate	We are quite confident that the effect in the study is close to the true effect, but it is also possible it is substantially different.
Low	The true effect may differ significantly from the estimate.
Very Low	The true effect is likely to be substantially different from the estimated effect.

#### **GRADE** Ratings for Recommendations For or Against Practice

S	Generally should be performed (i.e., the net benefit of the treatment is clear, patient values and circumstances are unlikely to affect the decision.)
с	May be reasonable to perform (i.e., may be conditional upon patient values and preferences, the resources available, or the setting in which the intervention will be implemented.)

**Recognition of Potential Health Care Disparities:** For all guidelines, review the literature and/or describe published or suspected health care disparities (e.g., racial, ethnic, socioeconomic, etc.).

- While warfarin is often a low-cost medication option compared to the direct oral anticoagulation class, the cost of INR lab testing and patient transportation to laboratory services must also be considered. These can be barriers to patients with mobility, transportation or socioeconomic challenges.
- Warfarin also requires a stable diet and level of overall patient compliance to reach and maintain INR goals. This can be a barrier to patients with food insecurity.

# References:

- 1. Ageno W, Gallus AS, Wittkowsky A, Crowther M, Hylek EM, Palareti G. Oral anticoagulant therapy: Antithrombotic Therapy and Prevention of Thrombosis, 9th ed: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines. *Chest.* 2012;141(2 Suppl):e44S-e88S.
- 2. Fanikos J, Stapinski C, Koo S, Kucher N, Tsilimingras K, Goldhaber SZ. Medication errors associated with anticoagulant therapy in the hospital. *Am J Cardiol.* 2004;94(4):532-535.
- 3. Holbrook A, Schulman S, Witt DM, et al. Evidence-based management of anticoagulant therapy: Antithrombotic Therapy and Prevention of Thrombosis, 9th ed: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines. *Chest.* 2012;141(2 Suppl):e152S-e184S.
- 4. You JJ, Singer DE, Howard PA, et al. Antithrombotic therapy for atrial fibrillation: Antithrombotic Therapy and Prevention of Thrombosis, 9th ed: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines. *Chest.* 2012;141(2 Suppl):e531S-e575S.
- 5. Lansberg MG, O'Donnell MJ, Khatri P, et al. Antithrombotic and thrombolytic therapy for ischemic stroke: Antithrombotic Therapy and Prevention of Thrombosis, 9th ed: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines. *Chest.* 2012;141(2 Suppl):e601S-e636S.
- 6. Kearon C, Akl EA, Comerota AJ, et al. Antithrombotic therapy for VTE disease: Antithrombotic Therapy and Prevention of Thrombosis, 9th ed: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines. *Chest.* 2012;141(2 Suppl):e419S-e496S.
- 7. Whitlock RP, Sun JC, Fremes SE, Rubens FD, Teoh KH. Antithrombotic and thrombolytic therapy for valvular disease: Antithrombotic Therapy and Prevention of Thrombosis, 9th ed: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines. *Chest.* 2012;141(2 Suppl):e576S-e600S.
- 8. Johanson NA, Lachiewicz PF, Lieberman JR, et al. American academy of orthopaedic surgeons clinical practice guideline on. Prevention of symptomatic pulmonary embolism in patients undergoing total hip or knee arthroplasty. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2009;91(7):1756-1757.
- 9. Puskas JD, Gerdisch M, Nichols D, et al. Anticoagulation and Antiplatelet Strategies After On-X Mechanical Aortic Valve Replacement. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2018;71(24):2717-2726.
- 10. Pisters R, Lane DA, Nieuwlaat R, de Vos CB, Crijns HJ, Lip GY. A novel user-friendly score (HAS-BLED) to assess 1-year risk of major bleeding in patients with atrial fibrillation: the Euro Heart Survey. *Chest.* 2010;138(5):1093-1100.

- 11. Harrison L, Johnston M, Massicotte MP, Crowther M, Moffat K, Hirsh J. Comparison of 5-mg and 10-mg loading doses in initiation of warfarin therapy. *Ann Intern Med.* 1997;126(2):133-136.
- 12. Quiroz R, Gerhard-Herman M, Kosowsky JM, et al. Comparison of a single end point to determine optimal initial warfarin dosing (5 mg versus 10 mg) for venous thromboembolism. *Am J Cardiol.* 2006;98(4):535-537.
- 13. Warfarin (Coumadin<sup>®</sup>) [prescribing information]. Brisol-Meyers Squibb, Inc.; Princeton, NJ. 2010.
- 14. Nutescu EA, Shapiro NL, Ibrahim S, West P. Warfarin and its interactions with foods, herbs and other dietary supplements. *Expert Opin Drug Saf.* 2006;5(3):433-451.
- 15. Dickerson RN, Garmon WM, Kuhl DA, Minard G, Brown RO. Vitamin K-independent warfarin resistance after concurrent administration of warfarin and continuous enteral nutrition. *Pharmacotherapy*. 2008;28(3):308-313.
- 16. Klang M, Graham D, McLymont V. Warfarin bioavailability with feeding tubes and enteral formula. *JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr.* 2010;34(3):300-304.
- 17. Dickerson RN. Warfarin Resistance and Enteral Tube Feeding: An Old Problem With a New Solution. 2008;43(6):520-524. <u>https://doi.org/10.1310/hpj4306-520</u>.
- 18. Petretich DA. Reversal of osmolite-warfarin interaction by changing warfarin administration time. *Clin Pharm.* 1990;9(2):93.